IN THE COURT OF

METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE

SAIDAPET, CHENNAI

M. P. N	lo.	of 20	
In			
C. C.	No.	of 20	
	VS	PETITIO	ONERS/ACCUSED.
		RESPONDEN	T/COMPLAINANT.
PETITION FILED UNDER SEC. 311 Cr.P.C.			
The petitioner above named states as follows:			
 The petitioner states that his counsel on record was unable to appear before this Hon'ble Court on 			
 The petitioner states that it is neither willful nor wanton but due to the reason stated above. 			
3. The petitioner states that the balance of convenience lies in his favour, further to get rebuttal evidence from the PW $-$ it is just and necessary to cross-examine the PW $-$. Hence the petitioner shall be permitted to cross-examine the PW $-$.			
In these circumstances it is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to reopen and recall the PW – and cross examine in the interest of justice and thus render justice.			
Dated at ,	this the	day of	, 20